Case 2:20-cv-05505-MAK Document 1 Filed 11/04/20 Page 1 of 18 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Robert Foster				Beaumont Retirement Services, Inc.						
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Hill & Associates, P.C. 1700 Market St. Ste. 3150 				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)						
	A 19103 215-567-7									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			FIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Of		OCIPA DEF 1		and One Box for I		DEF X 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	5
W. NARWER OF CASE		-		n or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		<u> </u>	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							for: Nature of S			
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	74	DEFEITURE/PENALT Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 Other LABOR DFair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations DRailway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note That Income Security Other Labor Litigation Control of the Control of the Control Other Labor Litigation Other Labor Litigation Control of the Control Other Labor Litigation Other Labor Litigation Other Labor Litigation Control of the Control Other Labor Litigation Other L	381	422 App 423 With 28 U PROPER 820 Copp 830 Pate 835 Pate New 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI FEDERA 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-	TY RIGHTS yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Prug Application lemark end Trade Secrets of 2016 LSECURITY (1395ff) dk Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False C 376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consu (15 US 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/S 850 Securit Exchai 890 Other S 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	m (31 USC) m (31 USC) eapportion st and Bankin erce ation eer Influen to Organization eer Credit GC 1681 or one Consu tion Act Sat TV ies/Commenge statutory A ltural Acts mmental M m of Inform tion istrative Pr view or Ap Decision utionality of	ment ng ced and cions 1692) mer addities/ ctions atters mation ocedure peal of
	moved from 3 I to Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened And	nsferred other Dis	strict	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title VII of the Civil Rigi		e filing <i>(D</i> , 42 U.S.C	o not cite jurisdictional C. Section 2000e-2	l statutes	unless div	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				EMAND \$			HECK YES only i J RY DEMAND:	if demanded in	complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	,	^		DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
	20	SIGNATORE OF ATT	~ 0.0	FRECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	4OUNT	APPLYING IFP	9	JUDGE	E		MAG. JUD	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Robert Foster : CIVIL ACTION LAW :

Upper Darby, PA 19082

PLAINTIFF

v. : **NO.**

Beaumont Retirement Services, Inc. 601 N. Ithan Avenue Bryn Mawr, PA 19010

DEFENDANTS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- Plaintiff, Robert Foster ("Plaintiff Foster"), by and through his counsel, brings this
 action against Defendant Beaumont Retirement Services, Inc. for racial
 discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
 and 1991, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-2, et seq., the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C.
 Section 1981, and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa.C.S.A. Section 951,
 et seq.
- 2. This is an action for damages, attorney's fees, and other relief on behalf of Plaintiff, a former employee of Defendant Beaumont Retirement Services, Inc., (Defendant Beaumont') who has been harmed by the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory actions, ultimately resulting in the termination of his employment as set forth below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Because this case involves federal questions and violations of Plaintiff's civil rights, jurisdiction in this Court is asserted under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. Section 1331.

- 4. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claim asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 as a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this judicial district.
- Plaintiff Foster has exhausted his administrative remedies against the Defendant Beaumont.
- 7. On August 21, 2020, Plaintiff was issued a Notice of Right to Sue by the United States Equal Employment Commission, and this Complaint is being timely filed. A copy Plaintiff Foster's August 21, 2020, Notice of Right to Sue is attached as Exhibit "A".

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff Foster is an African American adult residing at 566 Larchwood Avenue, Upper Darby, Pennsylvania 19082.
- Defendant Beaumont is a retirement community registered to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, located at 601 N. Ithan Avenue, Bryn Mawr, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania 19010.
- 10. At all times material, Defendant Beaumont employed more than fifteen employees.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff Foster was employed by Defendant Beaumont as a Relief Cook Supervisor.
- 12. At all times material, Defendant Beaumont acted and/or failed to act by and through the conduct of its officers, managers, employees, and agents, all acting within the course and scope of their authority, and under the control of Defendant Beaumont.

13. At all times material, Defendant Beaumont has been a "person" and "employer" as defined by Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 181, and the PHRA. It is and has been subject to the provisions of each of these laws.

FACTS

- 14. Plaintiff Foster began working for Defendant Beaumont in approximately 1988 as a Utility Service Dishwasher.
- 15. Over the course of his thirty plus years of employment with Defendant Beaumont, Plaintiff Foster also held the positions of Prep Cook, Cook, and Relief Cook Supervisor.
- 16. Plaintiff Foster held the position of Relief Cook Supervisor for the last ten years or so of his employment, until his unlawful termination on July 17, 2019.
- 17. Throughout the course of his three decades of employment with Defendant Beaumont, Plaintiff Foster received positive reviews, increases in wages, and promotions. He was meeting or exceeding the expectations of his employment.
- 18. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff Foster was one of the many African American workers employed by Defendant Beaumont in the dining department.
- 19. In early 2019, Defendant Beaumont hired a new Director of Food and Beverage Services, Zach Margolis, who is Caucasian.
- 20. Upon the hiring of Mr. Margolis, Plaintiff Foster's direct supervisor, John Bauer, Executive Chef, who is also Caucasian, began reporting to Mr. Margolis.
- 21. Shortly after the hiring of Mr. Margolis, Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees/agents, including Mr. Margolis, began subjecting Plaintiff Foster and others to racial discrimination.

- 22. Specifically, Mr. Margolis treated Plaintiff Foster in a hostile and condescending manner, micromanaging his work, and unjustifiably criticizing his performance in front of his colleagues. Similarly, situated employees who were Caucasian were not treated similarly.
- 23. Mr. Margolis made unjustified complaints to Plaintiff Foster's executive Chef, Mr. Bauer, regarding Plaintiff Foster's performance in the manner in which he was cooking food, and the timeliness of the food orders being prepared by him.
- 24. The aforementioned complaints by Mr. Margolis were untrue and were made solely based on Plaintiff Foster's race and in furtherance of Mr. Margolis' efforts to rid Defendant Beaumont of the African American dining employees.
- 25. Plaintiff Foster reported this unequal treatment by Mr. Margolis to the Executive Chef, John Bauer.
- 26. A meeting was held in response to Plaintiff Foster's complaints with Mr. Bauer, Mr. Margolis, and Plaintiff Foster during which Mr. Margolis acknowledged that he was acting harshly but stated that he was hired to "cleanup" the dining department.
- 27. Around the same time, in the Spring of 2019, a meeting was held with Mr.
 Margolis, Mr. Bauer, and others, wherein one of the residents of the community asked Defendant Beaumont to get rid of the blacks working at the facility because they felt they were living on a plantation.
- 28. Following this meeting, Mr. Margolis told a resident that he was going to "change the face of the kitchen."

- 29. Thereafter, Plaintiff Foster, and other minority workers, continued to be treated unfairly by Mr. Margolis based solely on their race and in furtherance of Mr. Margolis' efforts to rid Defendant Beaumont of the African American dining employees.
- 30. For example, Mr. Margolis reduced or removed completely the break time for minority workers while allowing other non-minority employees up to an hour-long break.
- 31. Again, Plaintiff Foster reported this unequal treatment of Mr. Margolis to the Executive Chef, John Bauer.
- 32. In response, Mr. Bauer told Plaintiff Foster that he should stop "wearing his attitude on his shirt sleeve", and ignored his concerns.
- 33. Shortly thereafter in approximately June of 2019, a telephone survey was conducted and Plaintiff Foster was asked whether he felt comfortable going to his supervisors if he had a concern, to which Plaintiff Foster responded no.
- 34. Less than an hour after this survey, which was supposed to be anonymous, Mr. Bauer confronted Plaintiff Foster and told him that the "higher up", and specifically Mr. Margolis, did not like his answer.
- 35. Mr. Bauer instructed Plaintiff Foster to "just say nice things" in response to the survey questions, Plaintiff Foster refused to change his answer.
- 36. From that time forward, Plaintiff Foster continued to be harassed, criticized, and treated in a condescending manner by his supervisor, Mr. Margolis.
- 37. In the early Summer of 2019, Plaintiff Foster again reported his concerns over the disparate treatment he was receiving, this time to Human Resources directly.

- 38. The Director of Human Resources, Mary Wells, did nothing in response to Plaintiff
 Foster's complaints, conducted no investigation into the complaints, and totally
 disregarded his report of racial discrimination and wrongdoing.
- 39. Despite the lack of response to his complaints, Plaintiff Foster continued to raise his concerns about this disparate treatment of workers during a supervisor meeting, insisting that everyone wanted to be treated equally.
- 40. Just days after vocalizing his belief that everyone should be treated equally, and after more than thirty years of service, Plaintiff Foster was terminated by the Defendant Beaumont.
- 41. Initially Defendant Beaumont stated that Plaintiff Foster was terminated because "his services were no longer needed".
- 42. Later Defendant Beaumont took the position that Plaintiff Foster was terminated because he was acting in a threatening and disrespectful manner.
- 43. Neither of the offered justifications for Plaintiff Foster's termination after thirty years were truthful.
- 44. Plaintiff Foster was terminated because he is African American and Defendant

 Beaumont made a purposeful decision to remove blacks from the dining department.
- 45. Plaintiff Foster was also terminated in retaliation for his opposition to unlawful racial discrimination in the workplace and because he refused to "just say nice things".
- 46. The foregoing adverse employment action was taken against Plaintiff Foster because he is African American, and in retaliation for having vocalized his concerns about the disparate treatment of minority workers, including himself, at Defendant Beaumont, which were protected activities.

- 47. Plaintiff Foster was replaced by a Caucasian employee.
- 48. At or around the same time, at least two other African American employees were removed from the dining department, and were replaced with Caucasian workers.
- 49. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was qualified and able to perform the essential functions of his position.
- 50. Plaintiff timely filed claims for discrimination and retaliation.
- 51. Plaintiff received his right to sue notice from the EEOC on August 21, 2020.
- 52. As a direct result of Defendant Beaumont's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct,
 Plaintiff Foster has suffered and continues to suffer economic and non-economic
 damages, including emotional, psychological and physical damages.
- 53. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct,
 Plaintiff's professional job opportunities have been impaired and he has suffered a loss of earning capacity.

COUNT I – DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE VII

- 54. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, discriminated against Plaintiff Foster based on his race in violation of Title VII.
- 56. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's racially discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.

57. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful racial discrimination, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- b. damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

COUNT II – RETALIATION UNDER TITLE VII

- 58. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, unlawfully retaliated against Plaintiff Foster for opposing unlawful racial discrimination in the workplace in violation of Title VII.
- 60. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future

- earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.
- 61. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliation, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

COUNT III – DISCRIMINATION UNDER PHRA

- 62. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, discriminated against Plaintiff Foster based on his race in violation of the PHRA.
- 64. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's racially discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.

65. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful racial discrimination, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

<u>COUNT IV – RETALIATION UNDER P</u>HRA

- 66. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, unlawfully retaliated against Plaintiff Foster for opposing unlawful racial discrimination in the workplace in violation of the PHRA.
- 68. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future

earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.

69. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliation, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

COUNT V – DISCRIMINATION UNDER SECTION 1981

- 64. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, discriminated against Plaintiff Foster based on his race in violation of Section 1981.
- 66. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's racially discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future

- earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.
- 67. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful racial discrimination, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

COUNT VI – RETALIATION UNDER SECTION 1981

- 68. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 69. Defendant Beaumont, by and through its employees, agents, and servants, unlawfully retaliated against Plaintiff Foster for opposing unlawful racial discrimination in the workplace in violation of Title VII.
- 70. As a result of Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff Foster

- suffered irreparable harm including a loss of earnings, loss of benefits, loss of future earning capacity, back pay, front pay, and interest.
- 71. Plaintiff Foster was and continues to be damaged by Defendant Beaumont's unlawful retaliation, including but not limited to suffering emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Plaintiff Foster demands judgment against Defendant Beaumont for the following relief:

- a. punitive damages to which Plaintiff proves he is entitled;
- damages for past, present, and future pain and suffering, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and any other compensatory damages, together with all costs of suit and other remedies allowed by law;
- c. interest in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- d. attorney's fees and costs in the maximum amount allowed by law;
- e. an injunction permanently and forever prohibiting and restraining

 Defendant from any and all discrimination and retaliation; and
- f. such other and further relied as the Court deems just.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

HILL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/s/ Busan B. Ayres

Susan B. Ayres, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff PA ID No 81849 1700 Market Street, Ste. 3150 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-567-7600 (phone); 215-525-4311 (f) Sue@Hilljustice.com

Date: 11/4/20

EXHIBIT A

EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

То:	566 La	Foster rchhwood Avenue Darby, PA 19082		From:	Philadelphia District 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 191	
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)				
EEO	C Charge	No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
			Legal Unit,			
530-	2020-0	1429	Legal Technician			(267) 589-9707
Notic	F TO TUE	PERSON AGGRIEVED:		(See also	the additional informati	on enclosed with this form.)
Act (0 been i of you state I	GINA): - issued a ur recei law may	e Civil Rights Act of 1964, the A This is your Notice of Right to Sue at your request. Your lawsuit unde pt of this notice; or your right to so be different.)	, issued under Title VII, the AI er Title VII, the ADA or GINA r	OA or GINA nust be fil	A based on the above-r ed in a federal or stat	umbered charge. It has e court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u>
L	X	More than 180 days have passed	d since the filing of this charge			
		Less than 180 days have passed be able to complete its administration				nlikely that the EEOC will
	X	The EEOC is terminating its proc	essing of this charge.			
		The EEOC will continue to proces	ss this charge.			
	ys after	nation in Employment Act (ADE you receive notice that we have on the EEOC is closing your case. 90 DAYS of your receipt of this	ompleted action on the charge Therefore, your lawsuit under	e. In this ret	egard, the paragraph in must be filed in fede	marked below applies to ral or state court <u>WITHIN</u>
		The EEOC is continuing its hand you may file suit in federal or stat	ling of your ADEA case. How	ever, if 60		-
in fede	eral or s	et (EPA): You already have the rig tate court within 2 years (3 years fo s that occurred more than 2 years	or willful violations) of the allege	ed EPA und	derpayment. This mear	EPA suits must be brought ns that backpay due for
If you	file suit,	based on this charge, please send	l a copy of your court complain	t to this off	ice.	
			On behalf	of the Com	nmission	
		_	Jamie	Williamer		August 21, 2020
Enclo	osures(s	5)	Jamie R. Wi District D			(Date Mailed)
cc:		ndrew Dollman		Susan	Ayres	

Andrew Dollman Latsha, Davis, & Marshall 1700 Bent Creek Blvd. Ste 140 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 Susan Ayres HILL & ASSOCIATES 1700 Market St, Ste 3150 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you *receive* this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was *mailed* to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do not relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, **please make your review request** within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)